



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/765,777 | 01/27/2004 | Craig William Fellenstein | AUS920030962US1 | 2482 |

45327 7590 05/31/2007
IBM CORPORATION (CS)
C/O CARR LLP
670 FOUNDERS SQUARE
900 JACKSON STREET
DALLAS, TX 75202

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

AHLUWALIA, NAVNEET K

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2166

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

05/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/765,777 | FELLENSTEIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Navneet K. Ahluwalia | 2166 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Patrick Caldwell. (3) Mahmoudi (Tony) Hassan.
 (2) Navneet K. Ahluwalia. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,757,804 B2 (Jochemsen et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative explained his arguments with respect to claims 1 and 8 in view of the prior art of the record. The Examiner will examine the respond on the merits of the application onreceiving the arguments/amendments and accordingly an office action will follow.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Navneet K Ahluwalia
 Examiner's signature, if required